Targeted Stakeholders' Consultation about EU4Health Annual Work Programme 2025

> Fields marked with * are mandatory.

*Name

*email

Stakeholders' Targeted Consultation EU4Health Annual Work Programme 2025

The EU4Health Programme is the response to the COVID-19 pandemic and aims to build a strong European Health Union by supporting legislative and non-legislative Union health priorities. The EU4Health Programme was adopted in March 2021 and represents the largest financial commitment by the Union in the field of health.
The European Commission is building a strong European Health Union, in which all EU countries prepare and respond together to health crises, medical supplies are available, affordable and innovative, and countries work together to improve prevention, treatment and aftercare for diseases such as cancer.

This targeted consultation is intended to seek the opinion of stakeholders about current and future Union health priorities and strategic orientations and on key health needs to be addressed through the EU4Health Annual Work Programme 2025, providing inputs for reflection on the 2025 programme and beyond. Its results will be used for drafting a consultation report (see the report on the 2024 Work Programme's consultation – link). They will also feed into the EU4Health stakeholders' conference on 19 June 2024.

For further information please read the consultation strategy. (link)

This targeted consultation will be available from 22 April to 10 June 2024.

Please read carefully the privacy statement before proceeding.

Stakeholders targeted consultation – Data Protection (link)

About your organisation

1. Please indicate the Member State or associated country you are located in, if applicable.
If not applicable, please select "Other non-EU country"

* Select the country

- ○ Austria
- ○ Belgium
- ○ Bulgaria
- ○ Croatia
- ○ Cyprus
- ○ Czechia
- ○ Denmark
- ○ Estonia
- ○ Finland
- ○ France
- ○ Germany
- ○ Greece
- ○ Hungary
- ○ Ireland
- ○ Italy
- ○ Latvia
- ○ Lithuania
- ○ Luxembourg
- ○ Malta
- ○ Netherlands
- ○ Poland
- ○ Portugal
- ○ Romania
- ○ Slovak Republic
- ○ Slovenia
- ○ Spain
- ○ Sweden
- ○ Norway
- ○ Iceland
- ○ Ukraine
- ○ Moldova
- ○ Montenegro
- ○ Other non-EU country

If you are located in a non-EU country, please specify the country.

2. These comments represent the views of:

* Please choose only one from the list below.

- ○ Academia or education establishments
- ○ Civil society organisations (associations, foundations, NGOs, professional organisations and similar entities)
- ○ Established networks in the field of health (e.g., one of the European Reference Networks)
- ○ Expert networks in the field of health or related area (e.g., digital)

- ⚪ Healthcare professional
- ⚪ Hospitals
- ⚪ Individual
- ⚪ Member States' authorities (national, regional, local)
- ⚪ Patient organisations
- ⚪ Primary care delivery organisation
- ⚪ Private entities (profit or non-profit)
- ⚪ Research institutes
- ⚪ Other

If you have chosen 'Other', please specify.

[ ]

*Please indicate the full name of the organisation.

[ ]

*3. Has your organisation been a beneficiary of EU4Health Programme's so far?
- ⚪ Yes
- ⚪ No
- ⚪ Do not know/Not relevant

*Did you apply for funding from the EU4Health Programme?
- ⚪ Yes, but was not selected
- ⚪ No

EU4Health work programme 2025 – strategic orientations and priorities

The EU4Health Programme (Regulation (EU) 2021/522) was adopted in March 2021. So far, four Annual Work Programmes have been adopted for 2021, 2022, 2023 and 2024. These Annual Work Programmes cover relevant areas of interventions for achieving the general and specific objectives of the EU4Health Regulation.

4. For simplification and to provide a high level framing, the actions in the annual work programme have been clustered in "strand of actions". **Which strand, in your view, effectively allocates resources to address both current and future health needs without neglecting any particular aspect?** Please see the consultation strategy (link) for the description of the strands.

Please score from one to five : 1 = Least effective to 5 = Most effective. Each score can only be used once

	1	2	3	4	5
* Strand of action 01: Crisis preparedness	○	○	○	○	○
* Strand of action 02: Disease prevention & health promotion	○	○	○	○	○
* Strand of action 03: Health systems & healthcare workforce	○	○	○	○	○
* Strand of action 04: Digital Health	○	○	○	○	○
* Strand of action (transversal) 05: Cancer	○	○	○	○	○

* 5. In your opinion, which areas of prevention, preparedness & response to cross-border health threats can be prioritised through EU4Health?

1000 character(s) maximum

* 6. What aspects of health promotion and disease prevention could be prioritised to tackle the current and future needs of the European population?

1000 character(s) maximum

* 7. How can EU4Health prioritise the actions in the field of strengthening of healthcare systems to better respond to current and future health threats?

1000 character(s) maximum

* 8. In what ways can EU4Health define priorities in digital health solutions including utilisation of health data and emerging technologies to improve patient care and outcomes?

1000 character(s) maximum

* 9. How can EU4Health define priorities for improving access to affordable and innovative medicines, medical devices and other crisis-relevant products across the EU?

1000 character(s) maximum

* 10. In your opinion, in which areas covered by the EU4Health work programme there is the highest potential for synergies in the scope of actions between the European level and the national level?

- ☐ Actions on disease prevention, health promotion and for addressing health determinants (including cancer)
- ☐ Supporting global commitments and health initiatives
- ☐ Strengthening the capability for prevention, preparedness, and response to cross-border health threats
- ☐ Complementing national stockpiling on essential crisis relevant products
- ☐ Training a reserve of medical, healthcare and support staff
- ☐ Improve the availability, accessibility & affordability of medicinal products, medical devices and crisis-relevant products.
- ☐ Improving health data and promote the uptake of digital tools and services and the digital transformation of healthcare systems
- ☐ Improving access to quality, patient-centered, outcome-based healthcare and related care services
- ☐ Developing and implementing EU health legislation and evidence-based decision making
- ☐ Integrated work among Member States' health systems
- ☐ Other

Please specify if "Other"

100 character(s) maximum

* 11. In your opinion, where does the EU4Health programme showcase its effectiveness by fostering additional positive outcomes?

- ☐ Promoting collaboration between member states (e.g., via Joint Actions)
- ☐ Enabling the preparedness and response to serious-cross border health threats

☐ Tackling current and future health priorities (e.g. mental health, cancer, aging, etc.)

☐ Implementing actions on health legislation (e.g. European health data space, Health technology assessment

☐ Support to European Reference Networks

☐ Other

Please specify if "Other"

100 character(s) maximum

[   ]

* 12. In which areas can EU4Health programme bring the most EU added value for innovation?

1000 character(s) maximum

[   ]

* 13. Which toolboxes can best foster innovation in the areas covered by the European Health Union? (e.g. sandboxes, living labs,...)

1000 character(s) maximum

[   ]

* 14. How can EU4Health facilitate partnerships & collaboration between public authorities, industry, academia, and civil society to address complex health challenges?

1000 character(s) maximum

[   ]

* 15. What (would have) happened to your action/ project, without European-level funding through the EU4Health programme?

The action/ project would be:

Maximum 1 selection(s)

☐ Likely funded by a public source at national or regional level, without any major changes in scope, duration or level of ambition

☐ Likely funded by a private source at national or regional level, without any major changes in scope, duration or level of ambition

☐ Likely funded (by either a public or private source), but with a substantial reduction in scope, duration or level of ambition

☐ No funding secured (by either a public or private source), but would have taken place later

☐ No funding secured, and would not have taken place at all

☐ Likely funded by another EU programme, without any major changes in scope, duration or level of ambition

☐ Other

Please specify if "Other"
100 character(s) maximum

---

* 16. How do you perceive the effectiveness and/ or efficiency of Direct Grants (e.g., joint actions or other direct grants) to address the key challenges in building the European Health Union? (link)

1000 character(s) maximum

---

* 17. How do you perceive the effectiveness and/ or efficiency of open calls (e.g., action grants, procurement initiatives, and operating grants) to address the key challenges in building the European Health Union? (link)

1000 character(s) maximum

---

* 18. How do you perceive the effectiveness and/ or efficiency of actions implemented by indirect management (e.g. contribution agreements) to address the key challenges in building the European Health Union? (link)

1000 character(s) maximum